




Section one

Summary for Audit & Standards Committee



Section one:

Summary for Audit & Standards Committee

This Annual Audit Letter summarises the outcome from our audit work at Sheffield City Council ("the Authority") in relation to the 2017-18 audit year, which has been finalised following the resolution of an objection to the accounts raised by a local elector.

Although it is addressed to Members of the Authority, it is also intended to communicate these key messages to key external stakeholders, including members of the public, and will be placed on the Authority's website.

Audit opinion

We issued an unqualified opinion on the Authority's financial statements on 30th July 2018. This means that we believe the financial statements give a true and fair view of the financial position of the Authority and of its expenditure and income for the year.

Financial statements audit

Our audit procedures are designed to identify misstatements which are material to our opinion on the financial statements as a whole. Materiality for the Authority's accounts was set at £20 million which equates to around 1.53 percent of gross expenditure. We design our procedures to detect errors in specific accounts at a lower level of precision.

We report to the Audit & Standards Committee any misstatements of lesser amounts, other than those that are "clearly trivial", to the extent that these are identified by our audit work. In the context of the Authority, an individual difference is considered to be clearly trivial if it is less than £1 million for the Authority.

We have identified 1 audit adjustment with a total value of £4.186 million. These adjustments result in a net nil impact in the reported surplus on provision of services and a net nil impact on the general fund.

Our audit work was designed to specifically address the following significant risks:

Management Override of Controls – In line with our methodology, we carried out appropriate controls testing and substantive procedures, including over journal entries, accounting estimates and significant transactions that are outside the normal course of business or are otherwise unusual. There were no matters arising from this work;

Valuation of PPE – We reviewed the approach that the Authority adopted to assess the risk that assets not subject to valuation were materially misstated and considered the robustness of that approach. As a result of this work we identified some assets that had been incorrectly recognised as additions in year on assets already subject to a revaluation. This had inflated the balance sheet value by somewhere between £3.9m and £6.75m (exact value could not be determined without full revaluation on impacted assets). Given this is below our performance materiality threshold of £14m the Authority has chosen not to amend the financial statements;

Pensions Liabilities – we reviewed the controls that the Executive has in place over the information sent directly to the Scheme Actuary (Mercer). We also liaised with the auditors of the Pension Fund in order to gain an understanding of the effectiveness of those controls operated by the Pension Fund. We reviewed the appropriateness of the key assumptions included within the valuation and compared them to expected ranges. We also reviewed the methodology applied in the valuation by Mercer;

Faster Close – We received draft financial statements on the statutory deadline of 31 May 2018. The quality of this draft was consistent with that of prior years; and

'Inverse' LOBO Loans – We reviewed the LOBO loans in place at the Council and confirmed one loan which was 'inverse' in nature. We reviewed and corroborated the reasoning from the Council's treasury management advisers as to why there was no change required to the current and historic accounting treatment with regards to this loan.



Section one:

Summary for Audit & Standards Committee

Other information accompanying the financial statements

Whilst not explicitly covered by our audit opinion, we review other information that accompanies the financial statements to consider its material consistency with the audited accounts. This year we reviewed the Annual Governance Statement and Narrative Report. We concluded that they were consistent with our understanding and did not identify any issues.

Whole of Government Accounts

We reviewed the consolidation pack which the Authority prepared to support the production of Whole of Government Accounts by HM Treasury. We reported that the Authority's pack was consistent with the audited financial statements.

Value for Money conclusion

We issued an unqualified conclusion on the Authority's arrangements to secure value for money (VFM conclusion) for 2017-18 on 30th July 2018. This means we are satisfied that during the year the Authority had appropriate arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in the use of its resources. To arrive at our conclusion we looked at the Authority's arrangements to make informed decision making, sustainable resource deployment and working with partners and third parties.

Value for Money risk areas

We undertook a risk assessment as part of our VFM audit work to identify the key areas impacting on our VFM conclusion and considered the arrangements you have put in place to mitigate these risks.

Our work identified the following significant matters:

Identification and Delivery of Savings – Like most of local government, the Authority faces a challenging future driven by funding reductions and an increase in demand for services, in particular with regards to Social Services. We noted through our work that the financial position of the Authority had been transparently reported and monitored throughout the period, enabling both officers and members to make informed decisions; and

Delivery and Management of Social Care – We assessed the Council's processes for reviewing the performance of social care services and whether there were appropriate methods for managing and monitoring performance in year, including the relevant reporting of this to management and members. We also reviewed how the Authority is working with partners and third parties to deliver social care. Overall, we were provided with evidence that demonstrated that the Authority had worked with third parties, transparently reported and proactively monitored and managed social care services in the year. This had enabled informed decisions to be made and resources to be deployed as sustainably as could reasonably be expected.

High priority recommendations

We raised no high priority recommendations as a result of our 2017-18 work.



Section one:

Summary for Audit & Standards Committee

Certificate

We received an objection to the Authority's financial statements which we have considered and concluded that no further action is required to be undertaken. This consideration led to a delay in issuing our final audit certificate until 8th November 2019.

Now issued the certificate confirms that we have concluded the audit for 2017-18 in accordance with the requirements of the Local Audit & Accountability Act 2014 and the Code of Audit Practice.


Audit fee

Our audit fee for 2017-18 was £186,998, excluding VAT (2017: £186,998). This fee was in line with that planned for the year, with some small additional fees incurred related to the Authority's move to a new financial ledger. Further detail is contained in Appendix 2.

Exercising of audit powers

We have a duty to consider whether to issue a report in the public interest about something we believe the Authority should consider, or if the public should know about.

We have not identified any matters that would require us to issue a public interest report.






Appendices



Appendix 1:

Summary of reports issued



Appendix 2:

Audit fees

This appendix provides information on our final fees for the 2017-18 audit.

As communicated to you in our *External Audit Plan 2017-18*, our scale fee for the audit is £186,998 plus VAT (£186,998 in 2016/17), which is consistent with an increase of 2% from the prior year.

We have previously agreed with management an additional fee of £4,000 related to additional work required over phases 2 and 3 of the new Integra General Ledger system.

Our work on the certification of the Authority's Housing Benefit Subsidy return was completed in November 2018. The planned scale fee for this is £30,025 plus VAT (£19,840 in 2016/17). However we also anticipate requesting further fee for this work due to the volume of additional work required. Fees for other grants and claims which do not fall under the PSAA arrangements amount to £12,000 plus VAT (£12,000 in 2016/17), see further details below.

Other services

We did not charge any additional fees for other services.

Component of the audit	2017-18 Actual Fee £	2016-17 Actual Fee £	
Accounts opinion and value for money work			
PSAA Scale fee (Sheffield City Council)	186,998	186,998	
Additional fee in relation to IT	4,000	9,000	
Total audit services	190,998	195,998	
Mandatory assurance services			
Housing Benefits Certification	35,342	23,262	
Total mandatory assurance services	30,025	23,262	
Audit-related assurance services			
Teachers' Pension Return	3,250	3,250	
Pooling of Housing Capital Receipts	2,750	2,750	
SFA Subcontractor Controls Assurance	6,000	6,000	
Total audit-related assurance services	12,000	12,000	
Total non-audit services	42,025	35,262	
Grand total fees for the Authority	233,023	231,260	

All fees quoted are exclusive of VAT.





The key contacts in relation to our audit are:

Tim Cutler

Partner

E: Tim.cutler@kpmg.co.uk

Matthew Ackroyd

Senior Manager

E: matthew.ackroyd@kpmg.co.uk

kpmg.com/uk









This report is addressed to the Authority and has been prepared for the sole use of the Authority. We take no responsibility to any member of staff acting in their individual capacities, or to third parties. We draw your attention to the Statement of Responsibilities of auditors and audited bodies, which is available on Public Sector Audit Appointment's website (www.psaa.co.uk).

External auditors do not act as a substitute for the audited body's own responsibility for putting in place proper arrangements to ensure that public business is conducted in accordance with the law and proper standards, and that public money is safeguarded and properly accounted for, and used economically, efficiently and effectively.

We are committed to providing you with a high quality service. If you have any concerns or are dissatisfied with any part of KPMG's work, in the first instance you should contact Tim Cutler, the engagement lead to the Authority, who will try to resolve your complaint. If you are dissatisfied with your response please contact the national lead partner for all of KPMG's work under our contract with Public Sector Audit Appointments Limited, Andrew Sayers, by email to Andrew.Sayers@kpmg.co.uk. After this, if you are still dissatisfied with how your complaint has been handled you can access PSAA's complaints procedure by emailing generalenquiries@psaa.co.uk by telephoning 020 7072 7445 or by writing to Public Sector Audit Appointments Limited, 3rd Floor, Local Government House, Smith Square, London, SW1P 3HZ.

© 2019 KPMG LLP, a UK limited liability partnership and a member firm of the KPMG network of independent member firms affiliated with KPMG International Cooperative ("KPMG International"), a Swiss entity. All rights reserved.

The KPMG name and logo are registered trademarks or trademarks of KPMG International.

CREATE: CRT086281A

